0013

From:

Steve Christensen

To:

jimsmith@utah.gov; John Gefferth; Karl Houskeeper; OGMCOAL; Priscill...

Date:

3/5/2008 3:05 PM

**Subject:** 

Emery task 2885 def response (C015/0015)

Place:

**OGMCOAL** 

John,

I located the notification letters that you sent to the landowners in our 2007 Incoming file. The letter's were dated February 16th and received by the Division on February 20th, 2007. As we discussed on the phone today, please insert into the forthcoming PHC-Update a sentence or two outlining when the notification letters were sent to the landowners.

Thanks, Steve

From: Gefferth, John

Sent: Wed 3/5/2008 3:08 PM

To: Priscilla Burton

Subject: RE: Emery task 2885 def response

Priscilla

I don't mean to keep using this as a response ....but the regs do not require the operator to submit the letters

525.700. Public Notice of Proposed Mining. At least six months prior to mining, or within that period if approved by the Division, the underground mine operator will mail a notification to the water conservancy district, if any, in which the mine is located and to all owners and occupants of surface property and structures above the underground workings. The notification will include, at a minimum, identification of specific areas in which mining will take place, dates that specific areas will be undermined, and the location or locations where the operator's subsidence control plan may be examined.

I have attached copies of the letters for your file. This same PDF was sent to Pam and as can be seen on the bottom of the letters a physical copy was sent to Karl.

You may be able to search your system for the pdf file name.

call if you want to discuss further

From: Priscilla Burton [mailto:priscillaburton@utah.gov]

Sent: Wed 3/5/2008 2:40 PM

To: Gefferth, John

Cc: Jim Smith; Karl Houskeeper; Steve Christensen Subject: Re: Emery task 2885 def response

John,

The information in Karl's inspection report corroborates that you sent the subsidence notification letters, but it does not provide us with copies of the information in the letter, which might be necessary at some future date.

If you already copied us on the correspondence, you could reference the date of the correspondence to us in the MRP as part of the Task 2885 response, so that the letter can be found in our files. Or Perhaps Karl sent copies of the letter to file, if so, reference the date the letters were sent to file and whether it was sent to internal or incoming, so we can find it in the files.

I have searched for 20 minutes around the inspection date of 10/23/2007 in Incoming and Internal and not found the letters in our electronic

Outgoing C/015/0015 files.

If they were never officially provided to the Division, please place copies of the letters in the MRP with the response to Task 2885.

Thanks,

Priscilla Burton, CPSSc Division of Oil Gas & Mining 317 Carbonville Rd. Price UT 84501

priscillaburton@utah.gov (435) 613-3733

>>> "Gefferth, John" <<u>JohnGefferth@consolenergy.com</u>> Wednesday, March 05, 2008 11:37 AM >>> Priscilla

Below are the responses that we talked about last week. Please review and get back to me. I have enclosed a copy of the inspection report pertaining to the notification.

Feel free to call my cell to discuss, as I plan to submit next week.

Deficiency List

Task ID #2885

PHC Update and Full Extraction Pillar Splitting -

15th West, 4th East, 6th East and Zero North Panels

R645-301-121.100

Verify the Emery County 907 road realignment in Section 29 on Plate I-1 and adjust property ownership lines accordingly on Plate I-1. (PB)

County Road 907 realignment in Section 29 has been revised on Plate !-1

? Appendix I-2 lists an incorrect address and telephone number for the BLM State Office.

Refer to CH I, App I-2, page 1 for the revised address. The phone number has been deleted, R645-301-112 only requires name and address.

R645-301-121.200

County Road 906 should be labeled on Plate I-1. (PB)

County Road 906 has been labeled on Plate !-1

? Dual boundary appears incorrect between Carter and Staley in Section 21.

This boundary is correct per the Emery County data base

? Dual boundary appears incorrect between Young Investment and Emery County in Section  ${\bf 30}.$ 

This boundary is correct per the Emery County database

? Dual boundary appears incorrect between Consol surface in Section  $30. \,$ 

This boundary is correct per the Emery County database

R645-301-525.700

The required pre-subsidence landowner notification letters to landowners and irrigation companies were not referenced and could not be found. (PB)

Refer to DOGM inspection 1443 dated 10/23/07, for land owner notification.

R645-301-121.100

County Roads must be labeled on Figure 1, App. V-5 Pre-Subsidence survey. (PB)

County Roads have been labeled on Figure 1, App. V-5 Pre-Subsidence survey)

Label on maps

R645-301-624.300 and R645-301-132

Provide missing floor analysis for 1st North. (PB)

The roof and floor analysis for the general zero and 1st North area was taken in two locations. The roof sample was taken in the 1st North panel, and the floor sample was taken in the Zero North panel. The two sample sites are in adjacent panels and approximately 2000 feet apart.

? Provide missing roof analysis from 0 North.

The roof and floor analysis for the general zero and 1st

North area was taken in two locations. The roof sample was taken in the 1st North panel, and the floor sample was taken in the Zero North panel.

The two sample sites are in adjacent approximately 2000 feet apart.

? Provide the analysis of Electrical Conductivity and Sodium Adsorption [sic] Ratio for roof and floor samples from 1st North and 0 North.

This analysis was not completed on these samples

? Request all subsequent letters from the laboratory be on company letterhead to document the qualifications of the laboratory, in accordance with R645-301-132.

Refer to CHV, pg 15a for a signed copy of the lab memo on Geochemical Testing letterhead.

"This communication, including any attachments, may contain confidential and privileged information that is subject to the CONSOL Energy Inc.'s Business Information Protection Policy. The information is intended solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient, you are prohibited from any use, distribution, or copying of this communication. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender and then delete this communication in its entir ety from your system."

"This communication, including any attachments, may contain confidential and privileged information that is subject to the CONSOL Energy Inc.'s Business Information Protection Policy. The information is intended solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient, you are prohibited from any use, distribution, or copying of this communication. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender and then delete this communication in its entirety from your system."